

Implied Volatilities from Strict Local Martingales

Martin Keller-Ressel

TU Dresden

ETH Zurich,
October 8th, 2015

Section 1

Strict Local Martingales

- **Strict local martingales** are local martingales which are no true martingales

- **Strict local martingales** are local martingales which are no true martingales
- Appear in Probability theory, e.g. in the context of Girsanov's theorem, Novikov's condition, etc.
- Interesting in financial mathematics, because they are ...
 - examples of arbitrage-free markets where market prices deviate from fundamental prices,
 - often considered as models of asset price bubbles, (cf. Heston et al. (2007), Protter, Jarrow, ...)

Theorem (FTAP; Delbaen & Schachermayer (1998))

Let S be a locally bounded semimartingale on a given filtered probability space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 The Financial Market described by (S, \mathbb{P}) does not allow for arbitrage in the sense of No Free Lunch with Vanishing Risk (NFLVR).
- 2 There exists $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ such that S is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale.

Theorem (FTAP; Delbaen & Schachermayer (1998))

Let S be a locally bounded semimartingale on a given filtered probability space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 The Financial Market described by (S, \mathbb{P}) does not allow for arbitrage in the sense of No Free Lunch with Vanishing Risk (NFLVR).
- 2 There exists $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ such that S is a local \mathbb{Q} -martingale.

- Any 'reasonable' model for a stock price S has the local martingale property under \mathbb{Q} .
- If 'locally bounded' is dropped, the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) remains valid.

Pricing Bubbles (1)

Definition (Price Bubble; Heston, Loewenstein & Willard (2007))

The Financial Market (S, \mathbb{Q}) with time horizon T contains a price bubble, if for some $t \in [0, T)$ the current stock price S_t exceeds the fundamental price $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$, i.e., if

$$S_t > \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_T | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

Pricing Bubbles (1)

Definition (Price Bubble; Heston, Loewenstein & Willard (2007))

The Financial Market (S, \mathbb{Q}) with time horizon T contains a price bubble, if for some $t \in [0, T)$ the current stock price S_t exceeds the fundamental price $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$, i.e., if

$$S_t > \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_T | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

- Clearly, for locally bounded processes, an arbitrage-free financial market (S, \mathbb{Q}) contains a bubble iff S is a strict local \mathbb{Q} -martingale.
- If 'locally bounded' is dropped, the strict local martingale property is still sufficient for the appearance of a bubble in an arbitrage free market model.

Pricing Bubbles (2)

- In a similar way, price bubbles of Put & Call options, bond prices etc. can be studied.
- In a strict local martingale model put-call-parity may fail and other pathologies appear.
- Strict local martingales are a continuous-time phenomenon.

Pricing Bubbles (2)

- In a similar way, price bubbles of Put & Call options, bond prices etc. can be studied.
- In a strict local martingale model put-call-parity may fail and other pathologies appear.
- Strict local martingales are a continuous-time phenomenon.

Can bubbles be detected from implied volatilities?

Section 2

The Setting of Continuous Local Martingales

Local Volatility setting: Assume S given as (weak) solution of:

$$dS_t = \sigma(S_t)dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma^{-2} \in L^1(0, \infty)$ and $S_0 > 0$.

Theorem (Delbaen & Shirakawa (2002), Blei-Engelbert-Senf (1990, 2009))

S is a strict local martingale if and only if

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{y}{\sigma(y)^2} dy < \infty.$$

Test for Price Bubbles (Jarrow, Kchia & Protter (2011))

- Estimate $\sigma(\cdot)$ from historical (high-frequency) data
 - Extrapolate σ to $(0, \infty)$
 - Evaluate the integral criterion of Delbaen & Shirakawa
-
- Similar ideas can be found in Hulley & Platen (2011))
 - Applied by Jarrow et al. to stock price time-series
 - Claim to detect bubble in LinkedIn stock briefly after 2011 IPO.

Some limitations of the Jarrow-Kchia-Protter test:

- Sufficiently long time-series are needed
- Result depends on extrapolation procedure
- Test is based on local-volatility assumption
- Result is sensitive to estimation procedure

Section 3

Implied Volatility

Definition (Implied Volatility)

Given a market or model price $C(T, K)$ of a European call option with maturity T and strike K , the *implied volatility* $I(T, K)$ is the solution of

$$C(T, K) = C_{\text{BS}}(T, K, I(T, K))$$

where $C_{\text{BS}}(T, K, \sigma) = S_0 \mathcal{N}(d_1(T, K, \sigma)) - Ke^{-rT} \mathcal{N}(d_2(T, K, \sigma))$ is the Black-Scholes price with volatility σ .

- Implied volatility can be equivalently defined in terms of put prices (given put-call-parity holds)
- We reparameterize by log-moneyness $x = \log(K/S_0)$

Asymptotics of Implied Volatility

Theorem (Lee's formula)

Let the underlying S be a positive \mathbb{Q} -martingale. Then the implied volatility satisfies

$$\limsup_{x \uparrow \infty} \frac{I(T, x)^2 T}{x} = \psi(p^* - 1) \quad \in [0, 2],$$

$$\limsup_{x \downarrow -\infty} \frac{I(T, x)^2 T}{|x|} = \psi(q^*) \quad \in [0, 2],$$

where

$$p^* = \sup\{p \geq 1 : \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T^p) < \infty\},$$

$$q^* = \sup\{q \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T^{-q}) < \infty\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\psi(p) = 2 - 4(\sqrt{p(p+1)} - p).$$

Asymptotics of Implied Volatility (2)

- The heavier the right tail of $\log S_T$, the steeper the right wing of the implied volatility smile,
- The maximum possible slope of $I^2(x)T$ is 2,
- Friz & Benaim: Conditions under which \limsup can be replaced by \lim
- Many higher order expansions (Gulisashvili,...)
- Lee's formula holds under the assumption that
 - S is a true \mathbb{Q} -martingale,
 - S does not have mass at zero, i.e. $\mathbb{Q}(S_T = 0) = 0$.
- Extension to mass-at-zero: De Marco, Hillairet & Jacquier (2014).

Section 4

Implied Volatility in Strict Local Martingale Models

The Martingale Defect

We assume that S is a non-negative local \mathbb{Q} -martingale with $S_0 = 1$

Definition (Martingale Defect)

The quantity

$$m_T := 1 - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_T] \in [0, 1]$$

is called the *martingale defect* of S at time T .

- $m_T = 0$: S is a true \mathbb{Q} -martingale,
- $m_T > 0$: S is a strict local \mathbb{Q} -martingale (stock price bubble).

We set

$$C_S(x) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [(S_T - e^x)_+] \quad \text{and} \quad P_S(x) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [(e^x - S_T)_+].$$

- In complete markets these are the unique minimal super-replication prices of calls resp. puts
- It holds that

$$C_S(x) - P_S(x) = 1 - e^x - m_T$$

and

$$(1 - m_T - e^x)_+ \leq C_S(x) < 1 - m_T,$$

where the lower bound is asymptotically attained as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.

Put- and Call-Pricing (2)

Hence the following are equivalent

- S is a strict local \mathbb{Q} -martingale
- Put-Call parity fails
- Call prices violate the classic no-static-arbitrage bounds for small strikes
- Call-implied volatility is different from Put-implied volatility
- There exists $x^* \leq 0$ such that Call-implied volatility is undefined on $(-\infty, x^*)$.

Cox & Hobson (2005) require that the value process V of a hedging portfolio for the Call must satisfy the collateral requirement $V_t \geq G(S_t)$ at intermediate times and show:

Theorem (Thm 5.2 in Cox & Hobson (2005))

Let G be a positive convex function satisfying $\limsup_{s \uparrow \infty} \frac{G(s)}{s} = \alpha$, and H an arbitrary payoff satisfying $H \geq G$, then under the above collateral requirement the fair price (at inception) of a European option with payoff $H(S_T)$ is equal to $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(H(S_T)) + \alpha m_T$.

Collateralized Calls (2)

- We set $C_S^\alpha(x) := C_S(x) + \alpha m_T$ and call $C_S^\alpha(x)$ the α -collateralized call.
- The fully collateralized call price $C_S^1(x)$ coincides with the call prices in strict local martingale models proposed in Madan & Yor (2006), Lewis (2000) and Heston et al. (2007).
- The fully collateralized call price restores put-call-parity and respects static no-arbitrage bounds.

Collateralized Calls (3)

With respect to implied volatility we obtain the following:

Theorem (Jacquier, K.-R. (2015))

Let S be a non-negative local martingale.

- (i) The implied volatility I_S^P of the Put P_S is well defined on the whole real line;*
- (ii) The implied volatility I_S^1 of the fully collateralised Call C_S^1 is well defined on \mathbb{R} and coincides with the Put-implied volatility:
 $I_S^1(x) = I_S^P(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;*
- (iii) For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ there exists $x^*(\alpha) \leq 0$ such that the implied volatility I_S^α of the α -collateralised Call is well defined on $[x^*(\alpha), +\infty)$, but not on $(-\infty, x^*(\alpha))$.*

Theorem (Jacquier, K.-R. (2015))

Let S be a non-negative strict local martingale with martingale defect m_T and suppose that $\alpha > 0$. Then, as x tends to infinity, the following expansions hold:

$$I_S^p(x) = I_S^1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{-1}(m_T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(1)$$

and

$$I_S^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} + \frac{\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\alpha m_T)}{\sqrt{T}} + o(1).$$

Corollary (Jacquier, K.-R. (2015))

If $\alpha = 0$ then

$$\lim_{x \uparrow \infty} \left(I_S^0(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} \right) = -\infty.$$

If $m_T = 0$, then, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lim_{x \uparrow \infty} \left(I_S^p(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} \right) = \lim_{x \uparrow \infty} \left(I_S^\alpha(x) - \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} \right) = -\infty.$$

Expansion of Implied Volatility & Testing for Bubbles

- $(I_S^P(T, x))^2 T$ always attains the maximum slope of 2 in a strict local martingale model
- At first order, IVs in a strict local martingale model look like IVs in a true martingale model with a heavy right tail
- First + Second order behavior: Necessary and sufficient condition for strict local martingale property
- Higher order expansions are possible under additional assumptions.

Expansion of Implied Volatility & Testing for Bubbles

- $(I_S^P(T, x))^2 T$ always attains the maximum slope of 2 in a strict local martingale model
- At first order, IVs in a strict local martingale model look like IVs in a true martingale model with a heavy right tail
- First + Second order behavior: Necessary and sufficient condition for strict local martingale property
- Higher order expansions are possible under additional assumptions.

Test for Price Bubbles based on implied volatility

- Fit a regression line to implied volatilities of options with large strike
- Compare slope and intercept to theoretical expansion

Advantages:

- Test is model-free (no assumption on dynamics of S)
- Uses implied instead of historical volatility (no time-series data necessary)

Disadvantages:

- Needs option-price data
- Also based on extrapolation ($x \rightarrow \infty$)

Section 5

Duality to martingale models with mass at zero

Definition (Models in Duality)

Let \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{P} be probability measures on a filtered measure space and let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon.

Let S be a strictly positive local \mathbb{Q} -martingale and M be a non-negative true \mathbb{P} -martingale on $[0, T]$. Denote by $\tau := \inf\{t > 0 : M_t = 0\}$ the first hitting time (of M) of zero and assume that τ is predictable and $\tau > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

We say that the pair (S, \mathbb{Q}) is in duality to (M, \mathbb{P}) if \mathbb{Q} is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} on \mathcal{F}_T , with

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_T} = M_T \quad \text{and} \quad S_t = \frac{1}{M_t} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. on } \{t < \tau \wedge T\}.$$

Models in Duality (2)

- In financial modelling, \mathbb{Q} can be interpreted as the ‘share measure’ corresponding to the stock price M under \mathbb{P} or—in the context of currency models—as the ‘foreign measure’ corresponding to the domestic measure \mathbb{P} and the exchange rate process M .
- The martingale defect of S (under \mathbb{Q}) equals the mass at zero of M (under \mathbb{P})
- Hence, strict local martingale models are dual to true martingale models with mass at zero.
- Existence of a dual model (to a given strict local martingale model) is shown in Kardaras et al. (2015) under very general conditions.
- Relations between call and put-prices under \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{P} are known as ‘put-call-duality’ or ‘put-call-symmetry’.

Theorem (Jacquier, K.-R. (2015))

Let S be a strictly positive strict local \mathbb{Q} -martingale in duality with the true \mathbb{P} -martingale M with mass at zero.

Denote by $I_M(x)$ the implied volatility under \mathbb{P} for log-strike x and underlying M .

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_S^P(x) = I_S^1(x) = I_M(-x).$$

- Implied volatility in martingale models with mass at zero has been studied in De Marco, Hillairet & Jacquier (2014).
- ‘Dualizing’ their results to the strict local martingale case we obtain higher order expansions of implied volatility. . .

Corollary (Jacquier, K.-R. (2015))

Let S be a strictly positive strict local \mathbb{Q} -martingale, $T > 0$ and \mathfrak{m}_T the martingale defect of S . Set $G(x) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_T \mathbf{1}_{\{S_T \geq e^x\}})$ and $\mathfrak{n}_T := \mathcal{N}^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_T)$.

- If $G(x) = o(x^{-1/2})$ as x tends to infinity, then

$$I_S^p(x) = I_S^1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} + \frac{\mathfrak{n}_T}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\mathfrak{n}_T^2}{2\sqrt{2Tx}} + \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{n}_T^2)}{\sqrt{2Tx}} \Psi(x),$$

as x tends to infinity, where the function Ψ is such that $0 \leq \limsup_{x \uparrow \infty} \Psi(x) \leq 1$.

...

Corollary

...

- If $G(x) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon x})$ as x tends to infinity, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$I_S^P(x) = I_S^1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2x}{T}} + \frac{n_T}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{n_T^2}{2\sqrt{2Tx}} + \Phi(x),$$

as x tends to infinity, where the function Φ satisfies

$$\limsup_{x \uparrow \infty} \sqrt{2Tx} |\Phi(x)| \leq 1.$$

Thank you for your attention!

*A. Jacquier, M. Keller-Ressel. **Implied Volatility in Strict Local Martingale Models** (2015). [arXiv:1508.04351](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.04351).*